



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_3590
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Seed Storage Periods, Conditions and Materials on Germination of Some Soybean Seed Cultivars

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Although presented data has a practical value for storage of soybeans at different storage conditions, as well as different packaging materials, especially locally, there is a great amount of grammatical incorrectness that should be changed prior to publishing. In most cases spaces between words are missing, but there is some other incorrectness. All these incorrectness are marked in manuscript attached. Besides mentioned, there are several sentences that need clarification. In addition, authors forget to declare ambient conditions of soybean seed storage, as well as initial moisture content of soybean seeds that clearly have significant importance in seed deterioration, as well as changes in germination characteristics. The most concerning are two things: 1) The great number of interactions described in results and discussion – that makes manuscript hardly readable – therefore I suggest to the authors to reduce the number of presented interactions and combine some of them for easiest reading especially taking into account that in some interactions results are repeated 2) To great number of figures (24) – I'm suggesting to the authors to reduce the number of figures and show only those ones that gives the most interesting results.	1) I suggest to the authors to reduce the number of presented interactions and combine some of them for easiest reading especially taking into account that in some interactions results are repeated. This experiment in lab. And I can't reduce its Figures because it produced as result of statistical analysis???? Not all references are listed as suggested in the author guidelines – please correct it You did not showed this references?? It was corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Conclusion of the manuscript seems incomplete – see comment in the manuscript attached Not all references are listed as suggested in the author guidelines – please correct it	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	the most of comments are given in manuscript attached: yellow marked text – missing space between the words blue or grey marked text – comments are given with such highlighted text.	It was corrected